

THE SATO-TATE CONJECTURE ON AVERAGE FOR SMALL ANGLES

STEPHAN BAIER AND LIANGYI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We obtain average results on the Sato-Tate conjecture for elliptic curves for small angles.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11G05

Keywords: Sato-Tate conjecture, average Frobenius distribution

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. For any prime number p of good reduction, let $\lambda_E(p)$ be the trace of the Frobenius morphism of $E/\mathbb{F}_p$. Then the number of points on the reduced curve modulo p equals $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - \lambda_E(p)$. By Hasse's theorem, there exists a unique angle $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ such that

$$\lambda_E(p) = \sqrt{p} \left(e^{i\theta_E(p)} + e^{-i\theta_E(p)} \right) = 2\sqrt{p} \cos \theta_E(p).$$

It is natural to ask how $\theta_E(p)$ varies with p .

If E has complex multiplication(CM), the answer is easy. By Deuring's work [6] half of the primes satisfy $\lambda_E(p) = 0$ (these primes are called "supersingular primes"), and for the remaining half of the primes the angles $\theta_E(p)$ are distributed uniformly in the interval $[0, \pi]$. The reason is that, in the CM-case, the primes p with $\theta_E(p)$ in a fixed range are given by $p = f(u, v)$, where $f(u, v)$ is a certain positive definite binary quadratic form, with $|u|/p$ in the corresponding range. The uniform distribution follows now from the work of Hecke [10], [11] on prime ideals of imaginary quadratic number fields in sectors.

The situation is more complicated when E does not have complex multiplication. For this case, Sato and Tate [20] formulated the following conjecture.

Sato-Tate Conjecture: Suppose E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which does not admit complex multiplication. For any $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \pi$, and $x \geq 1$, let

$$\pi_E^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x) := |\{p \leq x : \theta_1 \leq \theta_E(p) \leq \theta_2\}|.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_E^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x)}{\pi(x)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta,$$

where $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes not exceeding x .

Let

$$\Theta_E^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \theta_1 \leq \theta_E(p) \leq \theta_2}} \log p.$$

Then the Sato-Tate conjecture is equivalent to

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_E^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x)}{x} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta.$$

By a change of variables in (1.1), the conjecture can be stated in the following equivalent way.

Sato-Tate Conjecture: Suppose E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which does not admit complex multiplication. For any $-1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, and $x \geq 1$, let

$$\Theta_E(\alpha, \beta; x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \alpha \leq \lambda_E(p)/(2\sqrt{p}) \leq \beta}} \log p.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_E(\alpha, \beta; x)}{x} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{1-t^2} \, dt.$$

In [3], [9] and [21], L. Clozel, M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron and R. Taylor have proved the Sato-Tate conjecture for all elliptic curves E over totally real fields (in particular, over $\mathbb{Q}$) satisfying the mild condition of having multiplicative reduction at some prime. In the following, we say some few words about the background of their proof.

It can be shown that the Sato-Tate conjecture holds true if and only if the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_E(p)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_E(p)} \end{pmatrix}$$

are uniformly distributed with respect to the Haar measure in the space of conjugacy classes of the compact group $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of unitary 2×2 matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ with determinant 1. Building on this observation, Langlands [16] and Serre in the letter at the end of [19] related the Sato-Tate conjecture to symmetric power L -functions associated to the curve E . These L -functions are (in normalized form) defined by

$$L_m(E; s) := \prod_{p \nmid \Delta(E)} \prod_{j=0}^m \left(1 - e^{(m-2j)i\theta_E(p)} p^{-s}\right)^{-1},$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\Delta(E)$ is the discriminant of E . $L_m(E; s)$ converges absolutely for $\Re s > 1$. Langlands proved that the Sato-Tate conjecture holds if for all non-negative integers m , $L_m(E; s)$ can be extended to an analytic function on $\Re s \geq 1$ and does not vanish on the line $\Re s = 1$. By an argument given in [17], the existence of an analytic continuation of $L_m(E; s)$ to the closed half plane $\Re s \geq 1$ implies the non-vanishing of $L_m(E; s)$ on the line $\Re s = 1$. Langlands conjectured that the above symmetric power L -functions extend to an entire function and coincide with certain automorphic L -functions. This conjecture implies the Sato-Tate conjecture by the above consideration. See [18] for an overview of these results.

Recently, the authors of [3], [9] and [21] have proved that, under the above-mentioned mild restriction on E , these symmetric power L -functions have meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, satisfy the expected functional equation and are holomorphic and non-zero for $\Re s \geq 1$. These conditions suffice to prove the Sato-Tate conjecture. We note here that our results, which we shall presently state, do not follow from those in [3], [9] and [21] due to the lack of information about the order of magnitude of the error term in the Sato-Tate conjecture. In particular, as far as we know, there are no results in the literature about uniformity of this error term with respect to the coefficients a and b of the elliptic curves.

To establish an asymptotic estimate for $\pi_E^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x)$ or $\Theta_E^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x)$ if the angle $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$ is very small (that is, $\theta_2 - \theta_1 < x^{-\delta}$ for some positive δ), one would need zero density estimates for these symmetric power L -functions which do not depend too much on m . It seems that there are no zero density estimates in the literature which are sufficient for this purpose. In this paper we prove that the expected asymptotic estimate for small angles holds on average over elliptic curves

$$E(a, b) : Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$$

with $|a| \leq A$, $|b| \leq B$. For the sake of technical simplicity, we work with $\Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x)$ with a small interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ instead of $\Theta_{E(a,b)}^{\theta_1, \theta_2}(x)$ with a small angle $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$. We further suppose without loss of generality that α and β are positive. The case when α and β are negative can be treated in a similar way. The distribution

of supersingular primes p with $\lambda_p = 0$ was investigated in [8].

In the sequel, we set

$$(1.2) \quad F(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sqrt{1-t^2} \, dt \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma := \beta - \alpha.$$

Moreover, following the general convention, we use ε to denote a small positive constant which may not be the same at each occurrence. Our first result is:

Theorem 1. *Let $x \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $A, B \geq 1$. Assume that $x^{\varepsilon-5/12} \leq \gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$ and $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$. Then, for every $c > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) = xF(\alpha, \beta) + O\left(\frac{x F(\alpha, \beta)}{\log^c x} + x^{3/2+\varepsilon} \left(F(\alpha, \beta) \left(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{B}\right) + \frac{F(\alpha, \beta)^{1/2}}{(AB)^{1/2}}\right)\right),$$

where the implied O -constant depends only on c .

From Theorem 1, we immediately deduce the following average result for small intervals $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Corollary 1. *Let $x \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Assume that $x^{\varepsilon-5/12} \leq \gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$ and $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$. Then, if $A, B > x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and $AB > x^{1+\varepsilon}/F(\alpha, \beta)$, we have, for every $c > 0$,*

$$\frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) = xF(\alpha, \beta) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^c x}\right)\right),$$

where the implied O -constant depends only on c .

We note that under the assumptions in Corollary 1 the contribution of CM-curves among the curves $E(a, b)$ is negligible since it is well-known that the number of these curves with $|a| \leq A$ and $|b| \leq B$ under consideration is $O(A + B)$. Therefore Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are consistent with the Sato-Tate Conjecture.

Moreover, we shall establish the following second moment estimate.

Theorem 2. *Let $x \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Assume that $x^{\varepsilon-5/12} \leq \gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$, $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$, $A, B > x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and $AB > x^{1+\varepsilon}/F(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, for every $c > 0$, we have*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} |\Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) - xF(\alpha, \beta)|^2 \\ & \ll \frac{x^2 F(\alpha, \beta)^2}{\log^c x} + x^\varepsilon \left(xF(\alpha, \beta) \log \log(10AB) + x^3 F(\alpha, \beta)^2 \left(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{1}{B}\right) + \frac{x^3 F(\alpha, \beta)}{(AB)^{1/2}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied $\ll$ -constant depends only on c .

Theorem 2 implies that the expected asymptotic estimate holds for almost all elliptic curves in a sufficiently large box even if the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ is very small.

Corollary 2. *Let $x \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Assume that $x^{\varepsilon-5/12} \leq \gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$, $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$, $A, B > x^{1+\varepsilon}$, $x^{2+\varepsilon}/F(\alpha, \beta)^2 < AB < \exp(\exp(x^{1-\varepsilon}))$. Then, for any $c, d > 0$, we have*

$$\Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) = xF(\alpha, \beta) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^c x}\right)\right)$$

for all $|a| \leq A$, $|b| \leq B$ with at most $O(AB/(\log x)^d)$ exceptions. Here the implied O -constant depends only on c and d .

Our method is essentially a combination of those used in [1] and [4]. However, we need to modify these methods appropriately to make them applicable to short intervals. Parts of our section 4 follow closely to the work of David-Pappalardi in [4] and parts of sections 5, 6 and 7 follow closely to the work of Baier in

[1]. We included these computations for the sake of completeness.

Corollaries 1 and 2 improve some results in a recent preprint of James and Yu [14] on the Sato-Tate Conjecture on average. They dealt only with fixed intervals $[\alpha, \beta]$, whereas in our present paper the length of this interval may depend on the parameter x . Moreover, our method is different from that in [14]. James and Yu used the circle method which is avoided in the present paper. It is also note-worthy that Banks and Shparlinski [2] have recently improved our results in certain cases using very different methods while in other cases our results are better.

2. GENERAL APPROACH

As in [4] and [1], the following observations are our starting point.

Lemma 1 (Deuring). *For $0 < r < 2\sqrt{p}$, the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $p+1-r$ points is the total number of ideal classes of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[(D + \sqrt{D})/2]$, where $D = r^2 - 4p$ is a negative integer which is congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4. This number is the Kronecker class number $H(r^2 - 4p)$.*

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $p \neq 2, 3$. Then any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$ has a model*

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$$

with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p$. The elliptic curves $E'(a', b')$ over p , which are $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphic to E , are given by all the choices

$$a' = \mu^4 a \quad \text{and} \quad b' = \mu^6 b$$

with $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. The number of such E' is

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)/6, & \quad \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}; \\ (p-1)/4, & \quad \text{if } b = 0 \text{ and } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ (p-1)/2, & \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that the number of curves $E(a, b)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq a, b < p$ and $\lambda_{E(a, b)}(p) = r$ is

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{pH(r^2 - 4p)}{2} + O(p).$$

Now we write

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a, b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) = \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \#\{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B : \lambda_p(E(a, b)) = r\}.$$

Using (2.1), the term on the right-hand side of (2.2) is

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \left(\frac{2A}{p} + O(1) \right) \left(\frac{2B}{p} + O(1) \right) \left(\frac{pH(r^2 - 4p)}{2} + O(p) \right).$$

Here the error terms are estimated trivially. A more precise evaluation of the error term will be carried out in section 6. The main term in (2.3) is

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p}.$$

We shall derive an asymptotic estimate for this main term in section 4.

3. PREPARATIONS FOR THE ESTIMATION OF THE MAIN TERM

In this section we assemble some lemmas which we shall later need for the estimation of the main term. First, we express $H(r^2 - 4p)$ in terms of the value of a certain Dirichlet L -function at the point $s = 1$. For any negative integer d let χ_d be the character modulo $|d|$ given by

$$\chi_d(n) = \left(\frac{d}{n}\right),$$

where the right-hand side is the Jacobi symbol. Furthermore, let $L(s, \chi)$ be the Dirichlet L -function associated to a Dirichlet character χ . Then we have the following.

Lemma 3. *If $0 < r < 2\sqrt{p}$, then*

$$H(r^2 - 4p) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{f, d \\ r^2 - 4p = df^2 \\ d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}}} \sqrt{|d|} L(1, \chi_d).$$

Proof. This follows from (23) and (30) in [4]. □

We shall also need the classical Pólya-Vinogradov inequality for character sums.

Lemma 4. *Let $q, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$ and χ be any non-principal character modulo q . Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) \ll \sqrt{q} \log q.$$

Proof. This is the well-known inequality of Pólya-Vinogradov and can be found as Theorem 12.5 in [13]. □

In the sequel, for $x, y \geq 1$ and $(a, q) = 1$, we set

$$(3.1) \quad \Theta(x, y; q, a) := \sum_{\substack{x < p \leq x+y \\ p \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \log p, \text{ and } E(x, y; q, a) := \Theta(x, y; q, a) - \frac{y}{\varphi(q)}.$$

We shall need the following estimate of $E(x, y; q, a)$ for small moduli q .

Lemma 5. *Let $c_1, c_2, \varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, if $x \geq 2$, $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$, $q \leq (\log x)^{c_1}$ and $(a, q) = 1$, we have*

$$(3.2) \quad E(x, y; q, a) \ll \frac{y}{(\log x)^{c_2}},$$

where the implied $\ll$ -constant depends only on c_1, c_2 and ε .

Proof. For $q = 1$ this result is well-known. Its proof uses density estimates for the zeros of the Riemann zeta function as well as Vinogradov's zero-free region (see the proof of Theorem 12.8. in [12]). In a similar manner one can prove (3.2) for any $q \leq (\log x)^{c_1}$ by writing $E(x, y; q, a)$ as a linear combination of character sums and using density estimates for the zeros of the corresponding Dirichlet L -functions (see section 10.4 in [13]) as well as an analogue of Vinogradov's zero-free region for these L -functions which can be established by a similar method as for the Riemann zeta function (see section 8.5 in [13]). □

We also need the following classical large sieve inequality for Dirichlet characters.

Lemma 6 (Large Sieve). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers. Suppose that $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N, Q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{q=1}^Q \frac{q}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 \leq (Q^2 + N) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |a_n|^2,$$

where $\sum^*$ henceforth denotes the sum over primitive characters to the specified modulus.

Proof. See for example [5] for the proof. □

We are now ready to state the following generalization of the Barban-Davenport-Halberstam Theorem for short intervals.

Lemma 7. *Let $c_3, \varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, if $x \geq 2$, $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$ and $1 \leq Q \leq y$, we have*

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q |E(x, y; q, a)|^2 \ll Qy(\log x)^2 + \frac{y^2}{(\log x)^{c_3}},$$

where the implied $\ll$ -constant depends only on c_3 and ε .

Proof. For $y = x$ this is the well-known classical Barban-Davenport-Halberstam Theorem (see section 17.4 in [13]). Its proof uses the Siegel-Walfisz Theorem for small moduli $q \leq (\log x)^A$ and the large sieve, Lemma 6, for the sum over the remaining moduli $q > (\log x)^A$. To establish (3.4) for any y in the range $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$, one may use a similar method, where the Siegel-Walfisz Theorem is replaced by Lemma 5. $\square$

Furthermore, as in [4], we set

$$(3.5) \quad c_f^r(n) := \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,4n)=1 \\ (r^2-af^2,4n)=4}}^{4n} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad K_r := \prod_{l|r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{l^2}\right)^{-1} \prod_{l \nmid r} \frac{l(l^2 - l - 1)}{(l-1)(l^2 - 1)},$$

where the first product on the right-hand side runs over all prime divisors l of r , and the second product runs over all primes l which do not divide r . We shall need the following asymptotic estimate for the estimation of the main term in section 4.

Lemma 8. *Let $U, V \geq 1$ and $r > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq U} \sum_{\substack{f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{c_f^r(n)}{fn\varphi(nf^2)} = K_r + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{U}} + \frac{1}{V^2}\right),$$

with an absolute O -constant.

Proof. This follows from (22) and Lemma 4.1 in [4]. We note that these estimates do not depend on r . $\square$

Finally, we shall need the following estimate for K_r on average.

Lemma 9. *Let $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 1$. Then, for K_r being defined as in (3.6), we have*

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{u < r \leq u+v} K_r = v + O(1),$$

with an absolute O -constant.

Proof. Let

$$C = \prod_l \left(1 + \frac{1}{l(l^2 - l - 1)}\right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad f(r) := \prod_{l|r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{l^2 - l - 1}\right).$$

Then

$$(3.8) \quad K_r = f(r)C.$$

In the following, we estimate $f(r)$ on average over intervals. To this end, we define the arithmetic function g to be the Dirichlet convolution of f and the Möbius function μ , that is, $g = f * \mu$. Then it is easy to check that

$$g(n) = \frac{\mu(n)^2}{\prod_{l|n} (l^2 - l - 1)}.$$

Using this and the Möbius inversion formula, we deduce

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{u < r \leq u+v} f(r) = \sum_{u < r \leq u+v} \sum_{d|r} g(d) = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} g(d) \left(\frac{v}{d} + O(1) \right) = v \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(d)}{d} + O(1) = vC^{-1} + O(1).$$

Now (3.7) follows from (3.8) and (3.9). $\square$

4. ESTIMATION OF THE MAIN TERM

We are now ready to prove the following asymptotic estimate for the main term in (2.4).

Theorem 3. *Let $x \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Suppose that $x^{2\varepsilon-5/12} \leq \gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$ and $\alpha \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$. Then, for any $c > 0$, we have*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} = xF(\alpha, \beta) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^c x} \right) \right).$$

Proof. We have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq x^{2\varepsilon}\alpha\beta^{6/5}/\gamma^{6/5}} \sum_{r^2/(2\beta)^2 \leq p \leq r^2/(2\alpha)^2} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} \log p \\ &\quad + \sum_{x^{2\varepsilon}\alpha\beta^{6/5}/\gamma^{6/5} < r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha} \sum_{r^2/(2\beta)^2 \leq p \leq r^2/(2\alpha)^2} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} \log p \\ &\quad + \sum_{2\sqrt{x}\alpha < r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\beta} \sum_{r^2/(2\beta)^2 \leq p \leq x} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} \log p, \\ &= S_1 + S_2 + S_3, \text{ say,} \end{aligned}$$

where we have taken into account the condition $\gamma/\beta \geq x^{2\varepsilon-5/12}$. We shall deal with S_1 and S_3 later. Using Lemma 3, we may write S_2 in the form

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{x^{2\varepsilon}\alpha\beta^{6/5}/\gamma^{6/5} \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha} \sum_{f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(r^2/(2\alpha)^2)} \frac{\sqrt{4p - r^2}}{p} L(1, \chi_d) \log p,$$

where

$$S_{f,r}(t) = \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{2\beta} \right)^2 \leq p \leq t : f^2 | (4p - r^2), d = \frac{r^2 - 4p}{f^2} \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \right\}.$$

We now develop an asymptotic estimate for the inner-sums over f and p of (4.2) for every r . It suffices to restrict our attention only to odd r 's as the arguments are similar for even r 's. By partial summation, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(r^2/(2\alpha)^2)} \frac{\sqrt{4p - r^2}}{p} L(1, \chi_d) \log p \\ &= \frac{4\alpha\sqrt{1-\alpha^2}}{r} \sum_{f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(r^2/(2\alpha)^2)} L(1, \chi_d) \log p \\ &\quad - \int_{r^2/(2\beta)^2}^{r^2/(2\alpha)^2} \sum_{f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} L(1, \chi_d) \log p \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{r^2}{4t}} \right) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $d = (r^2 - 4p)/f^2$.

For a fixed $U > 0$ to be chosen later, we have

$$(4.4) \quad L(1, \chi_d) = \sum_{n \leq U} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{|d|} \log |d|}{U} \right) = \sum_{n \leq U} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \frac{1}{n} + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{|p|} \log |p|}{fU} \right),$$

using the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality, Lemma 4. We further note that

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{r^2}{(2\alpha)^2} - \frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2} \ll \frac{r^2\gamma}{\beta\alpha^2}.$$

Using (4.4), (4.5) and the fact that $r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha$, we get that the double sum in the integrand on the right-hand side of (4.3) is

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \leq 2\sqrt{x} \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \log p + O\left(\frac{x^{3/2}\gamma \log^2 x}{\beta U} \right),$$

where we have taken into account that $\gamma/\beta \geq 1/x$. The coprimality relation $(2r, f) = 1$ in the above comes in the following way. As r is odd, if $f^2|r^2 - 4p$, then f is also odd and $d = (r^2 - 4p)/f^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Moreover since $(r, f)|p$, and $p \geq r$ if p is neither 2 nor 3, we have $(r, f) = 1$. The possible contribution of $p = 2, 3$ can be absorbed into the error term if $U \leq x^{3/2}\gamma/\beta$ which will be the case by our later choice of U .

For a fixed parameter V with $1 \leq V \leq 2\sqrt{x}$ to be chosen later, the first term in (4.6) is

$$\sum_{\substack{f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \log p + \sum_{\substack{V < f \leq 2\sqrt{x} \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \log p.$$

Using (4.5) and $r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha$, the second term of the above is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{V < f \leq 2\sqrt{x} \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \log p \right| &\leq \log x \log U \sum_{V < f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{\substack{r^2/(2\beta)^2 \leq n \leq t \\ n \equiv \bar{4}r^2 \pmod{f^2}}} 1 \\ &\ll x^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \log x \log U \sum_{V < f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f^3} \\ &\ll \frac{x\gamma \log x \log U}{\beta V^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{4}$ is an integer such that $\bar{4} \times 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{f^2}$.

Therefore, (4.6) may be re-written as

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \log p + O\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta} x \log^2 x \left(\frac{x^{1/2}}{U} + \frac{1}{V^2} \right) \right),$$

where we assume henceforth that $\log U \ll \log x$. The sum over f in (4.7) is evaluated by splitting the sum according to the residue class of d modulo $4n$. Since $d = (r^2 - 4p)/f^2$ is odd, and $(\frac{d}{n}) = 0$ whenever $(d, n) > 1$, we get

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{\substack{f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{n \leq U} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \log p = \sum_{\substack{n \leq U, f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{fn} \sum_{\substack{a \pmod{4n} \\ (a, 4n)=1}} \left(\frac{a}{n} \right) \sum_{\substack{p \in S_{f,r}(t) \\ d \equiv a \pmod{4n}}} \log p.$$

The two conditions in the inner-most of the above sums $p \in S_{f,r}(t)$ and $d = (r^2 - 4p)/f^2 \equiv a \pmod{4n}$ are equivalent to $(r/2\beta)^2 \leq p \leq t$ and $p \equiv (r^2 - af^2)/4 \pmod{nf^2}$. Moreover, since $(2r, f) = 1$, nf^2 and $(r^2 - af^2)/4$ are co-prime if and only if $(r^2 - af^2, 4n) = 4$.

We re-write (4.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad & \sum_{\substack{n \leq U, f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{fn} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod 4n \\ (a, 4n)=1}} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \Theta \left(r^2/(2\beta)^2, t - r^2/(2\beta)^2; nf^2, \frac{r^2 - af^2}{4} \right) \\
&= \left(t - \frac{r^2}{2\beta^2} \right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq U, f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{c_f^r(n)}{fn\varphi(nf^2)} + \sum_{\substack{n \leq U, f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{fn} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{a \bmod 4n \\ (a, 4n)=1 \\ (r^2 - af^2, 4n)=4}} \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) E \left(r^2/(2\beta)^2, t - r^2/(2\beta)^2; nf^2, \frac{r^2 - af^2}{4} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_f^r(n)$, $\Theta(x, y; q, a)$ and $E(x, y; q, a)$ are as defined in (3.5) and (3.1).

We first deal with the case

$$(4.10) \quad t - \left(\frac{r}{2\beta}\right)^2 \geq \left(\frac{r}{2\beta}\right)^{7/6} x^\varepsilon.$$

In this case, applying Cauchy's inequality, we have that the second term in (4.9) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll \sum_{\substack{f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \left(\sum_{n \leq U} \frac{\varphi(4n)}{n^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \leq U} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod 4n \\ (a, 4n)=1 \\ (r^2 - af^2, 4n)=4}} E^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2}, t - \frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2}; nf^2, \frac{r^2 - af^2}{4} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll (\log U)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{f \leq V \\ (2r, f)=1}} \frac{1}{f} \left(\sum_{n \leq U} \sum_{\substack{b \bmod nf^2 \\ (b, nf^2)=1}} E^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2}, t - \frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2}; nf^2, b \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

as $a_1 \not\equiv a_2 \pmod{4n}$ ensures that

$$b_1 = (r^2 - a_1 f^2)/4 \not\equiv b_2 = (r^2 - a_2 f^2)/4 \pmod{nf^2}.$$

The last sum above is majorized by

$$\log V (\log U)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \leq UV^2} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod n \\ (a, n)=1}} E^2 \left(\frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2}, t - \frac{r^2}{(2\beta)^2}; n, a \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Fix any $c > 0$. Because of the condition in (4.10), we may apply Lemma 7 to the double sum above and it is bounded by

$$(4.11) \quad \ll \frac{x\gamma}{\beta \log^c x}$$

if

$$(4.12) \quad \log U \ll \log x, \log V \ll \log x, r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha \text{ and } UV^2 \leq \frac{x\gamma}{\beta(\log x)^{5+2c}}.$$

Here we have again used (4.5).

If (4.10) is not satisfied, then the expression of interest satisfies the same majorant given in (4.11) by a trivial bound for

$$E^2 \left(r^2/(2\beta)^2, t - r^2/(2\beta)^2; nf^2, \frac{r^2 - af^2}{4} \right),$$

where we take into account the estimate (4.5) and the conditions $\gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$ and

$$\frac{x^{2\varepsilon}\alpha\beta^{6/5}}{\gamma^{6/5}} < r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha.$$

We now set, assuming $c > 1$,

$$U = x^{1/2} \log^{c+2} x, \quad V = \log^c x.$$

Note that these choices for U and V are consistent with the conditions in (4.12), since we assume that

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta} \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Combining everything and using Lemma 8, the second double sum on the right-hand side of (4.3) can be expressed in the following way.

$$\sum_{f \leq 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{f} \sum_{p \in S_{f,r}(t)} L(1, \chi_d) \log p = \left(t - \frac{r^2}{2\beta^2} \right) K_r + O\left(\frac{x\gamma}{\beta \log^c x} \right).$$

Therefore, the left-hand side of (4.3) is

$$(4.13) \quad = \frac{\pi K_r r F(\alpha, \beta)}{\alpha^2} (1 + O(x^{-\varepsilon})) + O\left(\frac{x F(\alpha, \beta)}{r \log^c x} \right),$$

where for the error term estimate we have used

$$\gamma \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} \ll F(\alpha, \beta),$$

and for the main term we have applied integration by parts, the change of variables $v^2 = r^2/4t$ and

$$\frac{r}{v^2} = \frac{r}{\alpha^2} (1 + O(x^{-\varepsilon})), \text{ for } \alpha \leq v \leq \beta,$$

which follows from (4.5) and $\gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$. Summing up (4.13) over r in the interval $x^{2\varepsilon}\alpha\beta^{6/5}/\gamma^{6/5} < r \leq 2\sqrt{x}\alpha$, using Lemma 9 and partial summation, and taking into account the conditions $\gamma/\beta \geq x^{2\varepsilon-5/12}$ and $\alpha \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$, (4.2) and hence S_2 are equal to

$$(4.14) \quad x F(\alpha, \beta) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log^{c-1} x} \right).$$

It remains to show that S_1 and S_3 are small comparing to S_2 . By Lemma 3 and the well-known estimate $L(1, \chi_d) \ll \log 2|d|$, we have

$$(4.15) \quad H(r^2 - 4p) \ll \sqrt{|r^2 - 4p|} \log^2 x$$

from which we deduce, by a short calculation, that S_1 and S_3 are majorized by

$$(4.16) \quad \ll x^{1-\varepsilon} F(\alpha, \beta).$$

Here we have used the condition $\gamma/\beta \geq x^{2\varepsilon-5/12}$ for the estimation of S_1 and the condition $\gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$ for the estimation of S_3 . Now combining (4.1), (4.14) and (4.16), we obtain the desired result. $\square$

5. PREPARATIONS FOR THE ESTIMATION OF THE ERROR TERM

We first characterize the elliptic curves lying in a fixed $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism class, where p is a prime that is neither 2 nor 3. In the following, for $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\bar{z}$ be the reduction of z modulo p . Furthermore, let z^{-1} be a multiplicative inverse modulo p , that is, $zz^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Lemma 10. *Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \nmid abcd$ and E_1, E_2 be elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$ given by*

$$E_1 : Y^2 = X^3 + \bar{a}X + \bar{b} \text{ and } E_2 : Y^2 = X^3 + \bar{c}X + \bar{d}.$$

(i) *If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then E_1 and E_2 are $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphic if and only if ca^{-1} is a biquadratic residue modulo p and $c^3a^{-3} \equiv d^2b^{-2} \pmod{p}$.*

(ii): *If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then E_1 and E_2 are $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphic if and only if ca^{-1} and db^{-1} are quadratic residues modulo p and $c^3a^{-3} \equiv d^2b^{-2} \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, the curves E_1 and E_2 are $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphic if and only if there exists an integer m such that $p \nmid m$ and

$$(5.1) \quad c \equiv m^4 a \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad d \equiv m^6 b \pmod{p}.$$

(i) Suppose that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If (5.1) is satisfied, then it follows that ca^{-1} is a biquadratic residue modulo p and $c^3 a^{-3} \equiv m^{12} \equiv d^2 b^{-2} \pmod{p}$.

Assume, conversely, that ca^{-1} is a biquadratic residue modulo p and

$$(5.2) \quad c^3 a^{-3} \equiv d^2 b^{-2} \pmod{p}.$$

Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, there exist two solutions m_1, m_2 of the congruence $c \equiv m^4 a \pmod{p}$ such that $m_2^2 \equiv -m_1^2 \pmod{p}$, and (5.2) implies that $d^2 b^{-2} \equiv m_j^{12} \pmod{p}$ for $j = 1, 2$. From this it follows that $db^{-1} \equiv m_1^6 \pmod{p}$ or $db^{-1} \equiv -m_1^6 \equiv m_2^6 \pmod{p}$. Hence, the system (5.1) is solvable for m . This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Suppose that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If (5.1) is satisfied, then it follows that ca^{-1} and db^{-1} are quadratic residues modulo p and $c^3 a^{-3} \equiv m^{12} \equiv d^2 b^{-2} \pmod{p}$.

Assume, conversely, that ca^{-1} and db^{-1} are quadratic residues modulo p and (5.2) is satisfied. Then, since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, ca^{-1} is also a biquadratic residue. Hence, there exists a solution m of the congruence $c \equiv m^4 a \pmod{p}$. Further, (5.2) implies that $d^2 b^{-2} \equiv m^{12} \pmod{p}$. From this it follows that $db^{-1} \equiv m^6 \pmod{p}$ or $db^{-1} \equiv -m^6 \pmod{p}$. But $-m^6$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo p since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus $db^{-1} \equiv m^6 \pmod{p}$ since db^{-1} is supposed to be a quadratic residue modulo p . Hence, we have $db^{-1} \equiv m^6 \pmod{p}$, and so the system (5.1) is solvable for m . This completes the proof of (ii). $\square$

We shall detect elliptic curves lying in a fixed $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism class by using Dirichlet characters. For the estimation of certain error terms we then need the following results on character sums.

Lemma 11. *Let $q, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and (a_n) be any sequence of complex numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{\chi \pmod{q}} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \chi(n) \right|^2 = \varphi(q) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \left| \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} a_n \right|^2,$$

where the outer sum on the left-hand side runs over all Dirichlet characters modulo q .

Proof. This is a consequence of the orthogonality relations for Dirichlet characters. $\square$

Lemma 12. *Let $q, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$. Then*

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) \right|^4 \ll N^2 q \log^6 q,$$

where the outer sum on the left-hand side runs over all non-principal Dirichlet characters modulo q .

Proof. This is Lemma 3 in [7]. $\square$

Furthermore, we shall need the following estimates for sums over $H_{r,p}$.

Lemma 13. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, $x \geq 1$ and $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2}$, where $F(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined as in (1.2). Let*

$$H_p := \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} H(r^2 - 4p).$$

Then

$$\sum_{p \leq x} H_p^{1/2} \ll x^{3/2+\varepsilon} F(\alpha, \beta)^{1/2}, \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{H_p}{\sqrt{p}} \ll x^{3/2+\varepsilon} F(\alpha, \beta),$$

and

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{H_p}{p} \ll x^{1+\varepsilon} F(\alpha, \beta), \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{H_p \log p}{p^2} \ll 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3, we have

$$(5.3) \quad H_p = \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{f, d \\ r^2 - 4p = df^2 \\ d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}}} \sqrt{|d|} L(1, \chi_d).$$

It is well-known that $L(1, \chi_d) \ll \log 2|d|$ and that the number of divisors $\tau(n)$ of a natural number n satisfies $\tau(n) \ll n^\varepsilon$. Therefore, from (5.3) it follows that

$$(5.4) \quad H_p \ll \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} (4p - r^2)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \ll p^{1/2+\varepsilon} \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{2\sqrt{p}}\right)^2} \ll p^{1/2+\varepsilon} (1 + \sqrt{p}F(\alpha, \beta)).$$

From (5.4), we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} H_p \ll x^{2+\varepsilon} F(\alpha, \beta)$$

if $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2}$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x} H_p^{1/2} \ll x^{1/2} \left(\sum_{p \leq x} H_p \right)^{1/2} \ll x^{3/2+\varepsilon} F(\alpha, \beta)^{1/2}$$

from (5.5). The remaining three estimates in Lemma 13 can be derived from (5.5) by partial summation. $\square$

Finally, we shall need the following bound.

Lemma 14. *The number of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves containing curves*

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b$$

over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ is bounded by 10.

Proof. By Lemma 2, the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism classes containing curves $E(0, b)$ with $b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is less than 6, and the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism classes containing curves $E(a, 0)$ with $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ does not exceed 4. $\square$

6. ESTIMATION OF THE ERROR TERM

Now we evaluate the error term more explicitly than in (2.3). We shall establish the following.

Theorem 4. *Let $A, B, x \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. Suppose that $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2}$, where $F(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined as in (1.2). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) - \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} \\ & \ll x^{3/2+\varepsilon} \left(F(\alpha, \beta) (A^{-1} + B^{-1}) + F(\alpha, \beta)^{1/2} (AB)^{-1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $p > 3$ let I_p be the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphism classes of elliptic curves

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 + cX + d$$

over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_E(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta$ points such that $c, d \neq 0$. Let $(u_{p,j}, v_{p,j})$, $j = 1, \dots, I_p$ be pairs of integers such that the curves $E(\overline{u_{p,j}}, \overline{v_{p,j}})$ form a system of representatives of these isomorphism classes. We now write

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B : 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta\} \\ & = \#\{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B : p \nmid ab, 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta\} + O\left(\frac{AB}{p} + A + B\right) \end{aligned}$$

and
(6.1)

$$\#\{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B : p \nmid ab, 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta\} = \sum_{j=1}^{I_p} \#\{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B : E(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \cong E(\overline{u_{p,j}}, \overline{v_{p,j}})\},$$

where the symbol $\cong$ stands for “ $\mathbb{F}_p$ -isomorphic”. We rewrite the term on the right-hand side of (6.1) as a character sum. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then, by Lemma 10 (i) and the character relations, this term equals

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{1}{4\varphi(p)} \sum_{j=1}^{I_p} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\frac{au_{p,j}^{-1}}{p} \right)_4^k \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \chi(a^3 u_{p,j}^{-3} b^{-2} v_{p,j}^2),$$

where $(\cdot/p)_4$ is the biquadratic residue symbol. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then, by Lemma 10 (ii) and the character relations, the term on the right-hand side of (6.1) equals

$$\frac{1}{4\varphi(p)} \sum_{j=1}^{I_p} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \left(\chi_0(a) + \left(\frac{au_{p,j}^{-1}}{p} \right) \right) \left(\chi_0(b) + \left(\frac{bv_{p,j}^{-1}}{p} \right) \right) \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \chi(a^3 u_{p,j}^{-3} b^{-2} v_{p,j}^2),$$

where $(\cdot/p)$ is the Legendre symbol and χ_0 is the principal character.

In the following, we consider only the case $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The case $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ can be treated in a similar way. The expression in (6.2) equals

$$\frac{1}{4\varphi(p)} \sum_{k=1}^4 \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{I_p} \left(\frac{u_{p,j}}{p} \right)_4^{-k} \bar{\chi}^3(u_{p,j}) \chi^2(v_{p,j}) \sum_{|a| \leq A} \left(\frac{a}{p} \right)_4^k \chi^3(a) \sum_{|b| \leq B} \bar{\chi}^2(b).$$

We split this expression into 3 parts M, E_1, E_2 , where

- (i) M = contribution of k, χ with $(\cdot/p)_4^k \chi^3 = \chi_0, \chi^2 = \chi_0$;
- (ii) E_1 = contribution of k, χ with $(\cdot/p)_4^k \chi^3 \neq \chi_0, \chi^2 = \chi_0$ or $(\cdot/p)_4^k \chi^3 = \chi_0, \chi^2 \neq \chi_0$;
- (iii) E_2 = contribution of k, χ with $(\cdot/p)_4^k \chi^3 \neq \chi_0, \chi^2 \neq \chi_0$.

As one may expect, M shall turn out to be the main term and E_1, E_2 to be the error terms.

Estimation of M . The only cases in which $(\cdot/p)_4^k \chi^3 = \chi_0$ and $\chi^2 = \chi_0$ are $k = 0, \chi = \chi_0$ and $k = 2, \chi = (\cdot/p)$. Now, by a short calculation, we obtain

$$(6.3) \quad M = \frac{4ABI_p}{2p} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \right).$$

By Lemma 14, we have

$$\sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} H(r^2 - 4p) - I_p \leq 10.$$

Combining this with (6.3), we obtain

$$M = \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{4ABH(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} + O\left(\frac{AB}{p} + \frac{ABI_p}{p^2}\right).$$

Estimation of E_1 . The number of solutions (k, χ) with $k = 1, \dots, 4$ of $(\cdot/p)_4^k \chi^3 = \chi_0$ is bounded by 12, and $\chi^2 = \chi_0$ has precisely 2 solutions χ . Thus E_1 is the sum of at most $12 + 4 \times 2 = 20$ terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{4\varphi(p)} \sum_{j=1}^{I_p} \bar{\chi}_1(u_{p,j}) \bar{\chi}_2(v_{p,j}) \sum_{|a| \leq A} \chi_1(a) \sum_{|b| \leq B} \chi_2(b),$$

where exactly one of the characters χ_1, χ_2 is the principal character χ_0 . Therefore, Lemma 4 implies that

$$E_1 \ll \frac{I_p(A+B)}{\sqrt{p}} \log p.$$

Estimation of E_2 . Given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a character $\chi_1 \pmod{p}$, the number of solutions χ of $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)_4^k \chi^{-3} = \chi_1$ is less than 3, and the number of solutions χ of $\chi^2 = \chi_1$ is ≤ 2 . Thus, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce that

$$(6.4) \quad E_2 \ll \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I_p} \left(\frac{u_{p,j}}{p} \right)_4^k \chi(u_{p,j}^{-3} v_{p,j}^2) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{|a| \leq A} \chi(a) \right|^4 \right)^{1/4} \left(\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \left| \sum_{|b| \leq B} \chi(b) \right|^4 \right)^{1/4}.$$

By Lemma 10 (i), the number of j 's such that $u_{p,j}^{-3} v_{p,j}^2$ lie in a fixed residue class modulo p is bounded by 4. Using this, Lemma 11 and Lemma 12, the expression on the right-hand side of (6.4) is dominated by

$$\ll (I_p AB)^{1/2} \log^3 p.$$

The final estimate. Combining all contributions, we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \#\{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B : 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta\} \\ = \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{4ABH(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} + O\left(\frac{AB}{p} + \frac{ABI_p}{p^2} + A + B + (I_p AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 p + \frac{I_p(A+B)}{\sqrt{p}} \log p\right).$$

The result of Theorem 1 now follows from (2.2), (6.5), Lemma 13, $I_p \leq H_p$ and the prime number theorem which says that $\pi(x) \sim x/\log x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. $\square$

Combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, we obtain Theorem 1. Note that the condition $\alpha \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$ in Theorem 3 can be dropped if we assume the conditions $x^{\varepsilon-5/12} \leq \gamma/\beta \leq x^{-\varepsilon}$ and $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$ in Theorem 1.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We set

$$\mu := \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x).$$

Fix any $c > 0$ and assume that $A, B > x^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and $AB > x^{1+\varepsilon}/F(\alpha, \beta)$. Then, by Corollary 1, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \mu = xF(\alpha, \beta) + O\left(x \frac{F(\alpha, \beta)}{\log^c x}\right).$$

Thus, by the triangle inequality, the left-hand side of (1.3) is

$$(7.2) \quad \ll \frac{1}{4AB} \left| \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) - \mu \right|^2 + O\left(\frac{x^2 F(\alpha, \beta)^2}{\log^{2c} x}\right) \\ = \frac{1}{4AB} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x)^2 - \mu^2 + O\left(\frac{x^2 F(\alpha, \beta)^2}{\log^{2c} x}\right),$$

where the second line arises from the general identity

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^2 - \mu^2 \quad \text{if} \quad \mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n.$$

We now write

$$(7.3) \quad \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x)^2 = 4AB\mu + \sum_{\substack{p, q \leq x \\ p \neq q}} \log p \log q \sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B \\ 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta \\ 2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(q) \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta}} 1,$$

where p, q denote primes. Obviously,

$$(7.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{p, q \leq x \\ p \neq q}} \log p \log q \sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B \\ 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta \\ 2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(q) \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{p, q \leq x \\ p \neq q}} \log p \log q \sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B, p, q \nmid ab \\ 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta \\ 2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(q) \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta}} 1 + O \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B \\ p \mid ab}} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) \right).$$

Using Corollary 1 and $\#\{p : p \mid ab\} = \omega(|ab|) \ll \log \log(10|ab|)$ if $ab \neq 0$, we have

$$(7.5) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B \\ p \mid ab}} \Theta_{E(a,b)}(\alpha, \beta; x) + O(xABF(\alpha, \beta) \log \log(10AB) + x^2(A+B)).$$

Now we fix p, q with $p \neq q$. In the following, we confine ourselves to the case when $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. The remaining cases $pq \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ can be treated in a similar way. Similarly as in section 6, we can express the term

$$\sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B, p, q \nmid ab \\ 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta \\ 2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(q) \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta}} 1$$

as a character sum

$$\frac{1}{16\varphi(p)\varphi(q)} \sum_{i=1}^{I_p} \sum_{j=1}^{I_q} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \sum_{|b| \leq B} \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\frac{au_{p,i}^{-1}}{p} \right)_4^k \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \chi(a^3 u_{p,i}^{-3} b^{-2} v_{p,i}^2) \sum_{l=1}^4 \left(\frac{au_{q,j}^{-1}}{q} \right)_4^l \sum_{\chi' \pmod{q}} \chi'(a^3 u_{q,j}^{-3} b^{-2} v_{q,j}^2).$$

This sum equals

$$(7.6) \quad \frac{1}{16\varphi(p)\varphi(q)} \sum_{k=1}^4 \sum_{l=1}^4 \sum_{\chi \pmod{p}} \sum_{\chi' \pmod{q}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{I_p} \left(\frac{u_{p,i}}{p} \right)_4^{-k} \overline{\chi}^3(u_{p,i}) \chi^2(v_{p,i}) \right)$$

$$\times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{I_q} \left(\frac{u_{q,j}}{q} \right)_4^{-l} \overline{\chi'}^3(u_{q,j}) \chi'^2(v_{q,j}) \right) \left(\sum_{|a| \leq A} \left(\frac{a}{p} \right)_4^k \left(\frac{a}{q} \right)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3(a) \right) \left(\sum_{|b| \leq B} (\overline{\chi\chi'})^2(b) \right).$$

Let χ_0 be the principal character modulo p and χ'_0 be the principal character modulo q . Then $\chi_0\chi'_0$ is the principal character modulo pq . As in section 6, we split the expression in (7.6) into 3 parts M, E_1, E_2 , where

(i) M = contribution of k, l, χ, χ' with

$$(\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 = \chi_0\chi'_0, (\chi\chi')^2 = \chi_0\chi'_0;$$

(ii) E_1 = contribution of k, l, χ, χ' with

$$(\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 \neq \chi_0\chi'_0, (\chi\chi')^2 = \chi_0\chi'_0 \text{ or } (\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 = \chi_0\chi'_0, (\chi\chi')^2 \neq \chi_0\chi'_0;$$

(iii) E_2 = contribution of k, l, χ, χ' with

$$(\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 \neq \chi_0\chi'_0, (\chi\chi')^2 \neq \chi_0\chi'_0.$$

Estimation of M . The only cases in which $(\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 = \chi_0\chi'_0, (\chi\chi')^2 = \chi_0\chi'_0$ are:

(a) $k = l = 0, \chi = \chi_0, \chi' = \chi'_0$;

(b) $k = l = 2, \chi = (\cdot/p), \chi' = (\cdot/q)$;

(c) $k = 0, l = 2, \chi = \chi_0, \chi' = (\cdot/q)$;

(d) $k = 2, l = 0, \chi = (\cdot/p), \chi' = \chi_0$.

Now, by a short calculation, we obtain

$$(7.7) \quad M = \frac{4AB I_p I_q}{4pq} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right) \right).$$

By Lemma 14, we have

$$\sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} H(r^2 - 4p) - I_p \leq 10 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta} H(r^2 - 4q) - I_q \leq 10.$$

Combining this with (7.7), we obtain

$$M = 4AB \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} \sum_{2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4q)}{2q} + O\left(\frac{AB(I_p + I_q)}{pq} + AB I_p I_q \left(\frac{1}{p^2 q} + \frac{1}{pq^2}\right)\right).$$

Estimation of E_1 . The number of solutions (k, l, χ, χ') with $k, l = 1, \dots, 4$ of $(\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 \neq \chi_0\chi'_0$ is bounded by 12^2 , and $(\chi\chi')^2 = \chi_0\chi'_0$ has precisely 4 solutions (χ, χ') . Thus E_1 is the sum of at most $144 + 16 \cdot 4 = 228$ terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{16\varphi(p)\varphi(q)} \sum_{|a| \leq A} \chi_1(a) \sum_{|b| \leq B} \chi_2(b) \sum_{i=1}^{I_p} \chi_3(u_{p,i}) \chi_4(v_{p,i}) \sum_{j=1}^{I_q} \chi'_3(u_{q,j}) \chi'_4(v_{q,j}),$$

where χ_1, χ_2 are characters modulo pq such that exactly one of them is the principal character, χ_3, χ_4 are characters modulo p , and χ'_3, χ'_4 are characters modulo q . Here the characters $\chi_{3,4}, \chi'_{3,4}$ depend on the characters $\chi_{1,2}$. Now Lemma 4 implies that

$$E_1 \ll \frac{I_p I_q (A + B)}{\sqrt{pq}} \log pq.$$

Estimation of E_2 . Given $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a character χ_1 modulo pq , the number of characters χ modulo pq such that $(\cdot/p)_4^k (\cdot/q)_4^l (\chi\chi')^3 = \chi_1$ is ≤ 9 , and the number of χ modulo pq such that $\chi^2 = \chi_1$ is ≤ 4 . Thus, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce that

$$(7.8) \quad E_2 \ll \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{k=1}^4 \sum_{l=1}^4 \left(\sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{I_p} \left(\frac{u_{p,i}}{p} \right)_4^k \chi(u_{p,i}^{-3} v_{p,i}^2) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \left(\sum_{\chi'} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{I_q} \left(\frac{u_{q,j}}{q} \right)_4^l \chi'(u_{q,j}^{-3} v_{q,j}^2) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\chi_1 \neq \chi_0 \chi'_0} \left| \sum_{|a| \leq A} \chi_1(a) \right|^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\sum_{\chi_2 \neq \chi_0 \chi'_0} \left| \sum_{|b| \leq B} \chi_2(b) \right|^4 \right)^{1/4},$$

where χ runs over all characters modulo p , χ' runs over all characters modulo q , and χ_1, χ_2 run over all non-principal characters modulo pq .

By Lemma 10 (i), the number of i 's such that $u_{p,i}^{-3} v_{p,i}^2$ lie in a fixed residue class modulo p is bounded by 4. The same is true for the number of j 's such that $u_{q,j}^{-3} v_{q,j}^2$ lie in a fixed residue class modulo q . Using this, Lemma 11 and Lemma 12, the expression on the right-hand side of (7.8) is dominated by

$$\ll (I_p I_q AB)^{1/2} \log^3 pq.$$

The final estimate. Combining all contributions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{|a| \leq A, |b| \leq B, p, q \nmid ab \\ 2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(p) \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta \\ 2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq \lambda_{E(a,b)}(q) \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta}} 1 \\
 (7.9) \quad &= 4AB \sum_{2\sqrt{p}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{p}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4p)}{2p} \sum_{2\sqrt{q}\alpha \leq r \leq 2\sqrt{q}\beta} \frac{H(r^2 - 4q)}{2q} \\
 &+ O\left(\frac{AB(I_p + I_q)}{pq} + ABI_p I_q \left(\frac{1}{p^2 q} + \frac{1}{pq^2}\right) + (I_p I_q AB)^{1/2} \log^3 pq + \frac{I_p I_q (A + B)}{\sqrt{pq}} \log pq\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have proved this estimate only for distinct primes p, q with $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, but the same estimate can be proved in the cases $pq \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ in a similar way. Now we obtain Theorem 2 from (7.1), (7.2), (7.3), (7.4), (7.5), (7.9), Theorem 3, Lemma 13, $I_p \leq H_p \ll p$, $F(\alpha, \beta) \geq x^{-1/2}$ and the prime number theorem.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her many comments. This paper was written when the first and second-named authors held postdoctoral fellowships at the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at Queen's University and the Department of Mathematics at the University of Toronto, respectively. The authors wish to thank these institutions for their financial support. More in particular, for the generous support and encouragement given to him during and even after his stay in the University of Toronto, the second-named author owes a debt of gratitude to Prof. John B. Friedlander.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Baier, *The Lang-Trotter conjecture on average*, preprint, ArXiv:math.NT/0609095.
- [2] W. D. Banks, I. E. Shparlinski, *Sato-Tate, cyclicity, and divisibility statistics on average for elliptic curves of small height*, preprint, ArXiv:math.NT/0609144.
- [3] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, *Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations*, preprint, available at www.math.harvard.edu/~rtaylor.
- [4] C. David, F. Pappalardi, *Average Frobenius Distributions of Elliptic Curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (1999) 165-183.
- [5] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, Third Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Barcelona, etc., 2000.
- [6] M. Deuring, *Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. 14 (1941) 197-272.
- [7] J. Friedlander, H. Iwaniec, *The divisor problem for arithmetic progressions*, Acta Arith. 45 (1985) 273-277.
- [8] E. Fouvry, M.R. Murty, *On the distribution of supersingular primes*, Canad. J. Math. 48 (1996) 81-104.
- [9] M. Harris, N. Shepherd-Barron and R. Taylor, *Ihara's lemma and potential automorphy*, preprint, available at www.math.harvard.edu/~rtaylor.
- [10] M. Hecke, *Eine neue Art von Zetafunktionen und ihre Beziehungen zur Verteilung der Primzahlen, I*, Math. Z. 1 (1918) 357-376.
- [11] M. Hecke, *Eine neue Art von Zetafunktionen und ihre Beziehungen zur Verteilung der Primzahlen, II*, Math. Z. 6 (1920) 11-51.
- [12] A. Ivic, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1985.
- [13] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Volume 53, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004.
- [14] K. James, G. Yu, *Average Frobenius Distribution of Elliptic Curves*, Acta Arith. 124 (2006), 79-100.
- [15] S. Lang, H. Trotter, *Frobenius Distributions in GL_2 extensions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 504 (1976) Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [16] R.P. Langlands, *Problems in the theory of automorphic forms* Lectures modern Analysis Appl. 3, Lect. Notes Math. 170 (1970) 18-61.
- [17] V. Kumar Murty, *On the Sato-Tate conjecture*, Number theory related to Fermat's last theorem, Proc. Conf., Prog. Math. 26, (1982) 195-205.
- [18] M. Ram Murty, *Recent developments in the Langlands program*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Soc. R. Can. 24 (2002) 33-54.
- [19] F. Shahidi, *Symmetric power L -functions for $GL(2)$* , Elliptic Curves and Related Topics, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes 4, Amer. Math. Soc. (1994) 159-182.
- [20] J.T. Tate, *Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions*, Arithmetical algebraic Geom., Harper and Row, New York, 1965.
- [21] R. Taylor, *Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l representations II*, preprint, available at www.math.harvard.edu/~rtaylor.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Queen's University
University Ave, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6 Canada
Email: sbaier@mast.queensu.ca

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto
40 Saint George Street, Toronto, ON M5S 2E4 Canada
Email: lzhao@math.toronto.edu